Appl. No.: 10/644,841

Reply to Office action of Mar. 18, 2008

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

- 1. In response to your new restriction requirement, I select the invention of group I, which you defined as being encompassed by claims 1-5, 9-12, 15, 19, 30, 33, 41-43, 45, 46, 50, 51, 52, 58, 60-64, and 66-94. However, I have amended claim 20 to depend again on claim 1, like the equivalent method claim 83 which depends on claim 9, and I have similarly amended also claim 38, and therefore at least claims 20 & 38 now also belong to group I and should also be examined.
- 2. Any claims canceled or not elected are being canceled or not elected without prejudice and we reserve the right to reinstate them or other claims or other changes later in this application and/or in divisionals and/or in CIPs.

Respectfully submitted, Yaron Mayer /Yaron Mayer/

Your Mayer